

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,103	07/14/2003	Junichi Ishizuka	F-7859	5800
28107	7590 05/18/2006		EXAMINER	
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP			DEHGHAN, QUEENIE S	
122 EAST 421 SUITE 4000	ND STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK.	NY 10168		1731	
• · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			DATE MAILED, 05/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			んし
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office A. Company	10/619,103	ISHIZUKA, JUNICHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Queenie Dehghan	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replied will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ly be timely filed  4S from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	1 July 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)  objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•	•	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Jilice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a l		eceived	
See the attached detailed Office action for a t	ist of the certified copies not re	ectived.	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/619,103 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-2 in the reply filed on 5/2/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujimoto et al. (6,141,991). Fujimoto et al. disclose a method for molding lens by heating and compressing a glass element between oppositely placed first and second cores (201a, 201b), each having a compression molding surface with depressions formed on the surfaces and molding a plurality of convex or concave elements, while providing restriction means (210) for preventing the glass element from escaping in a direction perpendicular to a compression of the glass element (col. 2 lines 21-22, figure 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,103 Page 3

**Art Unit: 1731** 

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budinski et al. (6,305,194) in view of Takano et al. (English Abstract of JP 01226745). Budinski et al. disclose a method for molding lens by heating and compressing a glass element between oppositely placed first and second cores (102, 104), each having a compression molding surface with depressions formed on the surfaces and molding a plurality of convex or concave elements (Fig. 5 col. 1 lines 40-49), while under vacuum (col. 7 lines 4-6). Budinski et al. do not teach of restriction means. Takano et al. teach using restriction means (12) for preventing the glass element from escaping in a direction perpendicular to a compression of the glass element in drawing 3. It would

Art Unit: 1731

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the restriction means of Takano et al. in the molding process of Budinski et al. in order to define the thickness of the lens made.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimoto et al. (6,141,991), as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Budinski et al. (6,305,194). Fujimoto et al. do not disclose a molding process preformed in vacuum. Budinski et al. teach of molding lens in a vacuum (col. 7 lines 4-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize vacuum as taught by Budinski et al. in the molding process of Fujimoto et al. in order to minimize void formation.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Queenie Dehghan whose telephone number is (571)272-8209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,103 Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Q Dehghan

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700